

Capital Project Committee Meeting Minutes

Monday, April 16, 2018

Members present: Brad Almeter, Josh Audsley, David Barber, Michelle Barber, Kelly Beitz, Paul Clark, Jeff Cusmano, Chris Day, Doug Ewert, Norbert Fuest, Brian Fugle, Candy George, Amanda Hofheins, Mike Jansma, Donna Kozlowski, John Librock, Ralph Marvin, Meaghan Matuszak, Eric Romesser, Jodi Rudgers, Becky Sphar, Melanie Toland-George, Kris Wicks **Also in attendance:** Paul Hedin (CPL), Steve Thorsell (CPL), Kory Hunsinger (CPL), Karen Moon (BDP)

- Mr. Barber started the meeting at 6:00 pm.
- The committee was provided with the survey results from the previous referendum after the last meeting. Mr. Barber inquired if anyone had any comments to discuss with the committee. He also referred to the committee meeting when the summary of the survey results were shared and discussed. There were no further comments discussed at this time.
- Mr. Hedin presented the committee with two different athletic facility options and the advantages and disadvantages that could be associated with each option.
 - Option A: Leave the field in the current location. Install new lights, bleachers, concessions with bathrooms, press stand, team rooms and storage. Increase the size of the field to accommodate soccer and football with artificial turf, make the track 8 lanes. Access road and culvert across the stream to 2 practice soccer fields. Budget: \$4,282,000
 - In both options, the track becomes 8 lanes and the football/soccer field has artificial turf. The extra lanes on the track causes it to be wider so keeping it in the same location brings it very close to the existing school building. Keeping it in the same location will inhibit the ability to add an Elementary School addition on to the south side of the Jr/Sr High School.
 - With option A, there will be a football, soccer, and track season that is lost during the construction.
 - In this option, the parking lot by the Middle School may need to be added back in to provide parking, which is a \$550,000 cost not included in the budget listed for this option. This would also cause the loss of a practice field.
 - Option B: Relocate the athletic facility away from the highway and more interior on the campus. This includes an artificial field for soccer and football, 8 lane track, bleachers, lighting, scoreboard, press stand, and existing concessions with

bathrooms. Access road, additional parking, culvert across the creek and 2 game fields for soccer. Budget: \$5,025,000

- The budget increase from option A to option B is primarily attributed to the site fill for the new stadium (\$75,000), the upgraded athletic fields across the creek (\$218,000), the additional parking (\$550,000).
 - The cost associated with the concession stand is higher in Option A because it involves building a new building when the track/field extends over the current one. In Option B, the cost associated with the concession stand reflects using the same building and renovating it to serve from the side that the new field will be built on.
- The committee was provided with the timeline schedules for three different vote dates: October 2018, November 2018, December 2018. There are many factors to consider when selecting a date and the schedule provided depicts the steps that are involved. In order to have an October vote date, we would need to have the scope of the completed by May 21, 2018 to submit to the Board of Education in June. The committee agreed to work toward an October vote date.
 - Updates
 - In following up on the maintenance garage and storage needs, the committee was asked to discuss ideas for a design and location. Ideas discussed included construction of a storage building and placing it in the location of the current administration building or renting space off campus. The option to use existing space within the school (such as a gym) is not ideal because if we wanted to add on to replace the taken space, it likely would not be aid-able based on the student population projections.
 - The committee was provided with revised parking lot designs for the Elementary School, the Jr/Sr High School, and the proposed parent drop off. Consensus among the group was to go back to the original design for the Elementary School that did not include a bus loop on the front lawn of the school. The proposed changes at the Jr/Sr High School were accepted by the committee. There may be more modifications to the parent drop off loop.
 - The interviews for a Construction Manager are taking place on April 18 and a decision will be made shortly after.
 - The committee's next steps are to finalize the project scope, prepare budgets, and establish the final timeline.
 - Next meetings are Monday May 7, 2018 and May 21, 2018 in the HS Library.
 - Meeting concluded